This article was downloaded by: On: 23 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



To cite this Article Becker, Clifford A. L.(2006) 'Syntheses of *tris*(*t*-butylisocyanide)*bis*(tri-*p*-dimethyl-aminophenylphosphine)cobalt(I) and cobalt(II) perchlorates: a reversal of the usual stabilities of cobalt oxidation states', Journal of Coordination Chemistry, 59: 12, 1407 — 1411 To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/00958970500512708 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00958970500512708

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.



# Syntheses of *tris*(*t*-butylisocyanide)*bis*(tri-*p*-dimethylaminophenylphosphine)cobalt(I) and cobalt(II) perchlorates: a reversal of the usual stabilities of cobalt oxidation states

CLIFFORD A. L. BECKER\*

Department of Chemistry, University of Botswana, P/Bag 00704 Gaborone, Botswana

(Received 14 January 2005; in final form 21 October 2005)

The complexes  $[Co(CNCMe_3)_3[P(C_6H_4NMe_2-p)_3]_2](CIO_4)_2$  and  $[Co(CNCMe_3)_3[P(C_6H_4NMe_2-p)_3]_2]CIO_4$  are reported. The Co(II) complex, formed by reaction of excess triarylphosphine with the alkylisocyanide Co(II) complex, is stable and the favoured product. The Co(I) complex, formed by hydrazine reduction of the Co(II) complex, has limited stability in solution, readily oxidizing to the Co(II) species. Upon prolonged irradiation of the Co(II) complex in acetone,  $[Co{OP(C_6H_4NMe_2-p)_3}_4](CIO_4)_2$  is produced.

*Keywords: t*-Butylisocyanide; Tri-*p*-dimethylaminophenylphosphine; Cobalt(II); Cobalt(I); Redox reactions

### 1. Introduction

Reactions of triarylphosphine ligands with Co(II) complexes of alkylisocyanides typically favour reduction/ligand substitution, yielding products of general formula  $[Co(CNR)_3(PR'_3)_2]X$ ,  $X = ClO_4$ , BF<sub>4</sub> [1–6]. Co(II) complexes have been isolated as minor products under special conditions [7–9], and some Co(I) complexes can be reversibly oxidized to the corresponding Co(II) complexes  $[Co(CNR)_3(PR'_3)_2]X_2$  [10, 11], but Co(I) is clearly the favoured product. This trend is particularly well developed for reactions of  $[Co(CNCMe_3)_4H_2O](ClO_4)_2$  (1) with the complexes  $[Co(CNCMe_3)_3(PR'_3)_2]ClO_4$ ,  $R' = C_6H_4CF_3$ -p,  $C_6H_4F$ -p,  $C_6H_4Cl$ -p,  $C_6H_5$ ,  $C_6H_4Me$ -p,  $C_6H_4OMe$ -p [4–6].

This article reports the reaction of  $P(C_6H_4NMe_2-p)_3$  with 1, for which the relative stabilities of the Co(I) and Co(II) states are reversed,  $[Co(CNR)_3(PR'_3)_2]X_2$  now being the favoured product and  $[Co(CNR)_3(PR'_3)_2]X$  being obtained through  $N_2H_4$  reduction; the latter has limited stability in solution. The complex  $[Co\{OP(C_6H_4NMe_2-p)_3\}_4](ClO_4)_2$  [12, 13] is also obtained.

<sup>\*</sup>Email: beckerca@mopipi.ub.bw

## 2. Experimental

Commercially available CNCMe<sub>3</sub> (Fluka) and  $P(C_6H_4NMe_2-p)_3$  (Strem) were used without further purification. Complex 1 was synthesized as previously reported [4]. Anhydrous diethylether was filtered through an alumina column before use.

IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 2000 FTIR spectrophotometer. Solution electronic spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV365 spectrophotometer. Molar conductivities were measured on 0.001 M solutions at 25°C using a Crison 525 conductimeter. Magnetic susceptibilities were measured at room temperature using a Johnson Matthey Alfa balance. Effective magnetic moments were calculated assuming Curie Law behaviour using diamagnetic corrections from the literature [7, 14, 15]. An Allen and Co. lamp was used for irradiation at 365 nm. Elemental analyses were performed using a Carlo Erba 1106 instrument.

*Cautionary Note*: Complexes reported in this article are perchlorate salts. Complex 1 is substantially easier to prepare and store than  $[Co(CNCMe_3)_4H_2O](BF_4)_2 \cdot 2.5H_2O$  [4, 16]. Although the complexes show no explosive tendency, all perchlorate salts must be considered as potentially hazardous. Please see comments regarding the use of perchlorate salts [8].

## 2.1. $[Co(CNCMe_3)_3 \{P(C_6H_4NMe_2-p)_3\}_2](ClO_4)_2$ (2)

Complex 1 (200 mg, 0.329 mmol) was dissolved in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (1.5 cm<sup>3</sup>), filtered through cotton with a CH<sub>3</sub>CN rinse (0.5 cm<sup>3</sup>), and chilled in ice.  $P(C_6H_4NMe_2-p)_3$  (383 mg, 0.978 mmol; 3:1 P:Co mol ratio), in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> ( $4.5 \text{ cm}^3$ ), was added dropwise while the reaction mixture was stirred. The colour of the solution changed from dark blue to intense yellow-brown. Diethylether was added dropwise, 8.5 cm<sup>3</sup> for initial precipitation, 18.0 cm<sup>3</sup> in total. The reaction mixture was chilled in ice (30 min), and the yellow-brown product filtered off and washed twice with diethylether. The product (337 mg, 53% yield) was recrystallized from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5.5 cm<sup>3</sup>) and diethylether (7.5 cm<sup>3</sup>), producing a yellow-green microcrystalline solid. Yield: 316 mg (50%), m.p. 213-218°C (dec). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>63</sub>H<sub>87</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>CoN<sub>9</sub>O<sub>8</sub>P<sub>2</sub> (%): C, 58.65; H, 6.80; N, 9.77. Found: C, 58.44; H, 6.95; N, 9.78. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): ν(N≡C) 2193w(sh), ~2179vw(sh), 2170vs (Nujol); 2170vs (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); 2172vs (CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>); 2171vs (CF<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH). Molar conductivity:  $\Lambda_{\rm M}$  (ohm<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>) 275 (CH<sub>3</sub>CN), 220 (acetone), 140 (CH<sub>3</sub>OH), 175 (CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>), 51 (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>). Electronic spectrum:  $\lambda_{max}$  ( $\epsilon$ , M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) 905br (320),  $\sim$ 620sh (1,400), 465 (10,000), 385 (9,900), 290 (86,000) nm (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); 880br (310), ~620sh (1,400), 454 (9,000), 376 (8,900), 290 (81,000) nm (CH<sub>3</sub>CN); ~895br (280), ~620sh (1,200), 459 (9,200), ~383br (9,000), 287 (82,000) nm (CF<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH). Magnetic susceptibility:  $\chi_g = 1.37 \pm 0.09 \times 10^{-6}$  (cgs),  $\mu_{eff} = 2.45 \pm 0.05$  BM.

# 2.2. $[Co(CNCMe_3)_3 \{P(C_6H_4NMe_2-p)_3\}_2]ClO_4$ (3)

Complex 2 (200 mg, 0.155 mmol) was dissolved in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2.0 cm<sup>3</sup>) and filtered through cotton.  $N_2H_4 \cdot H_2O$  (15 microdrops) was added dropwise, and the solution stirred vigorously for 5 min while the dark yellow-brown colour became increasingly red-brown. The reaction mixture was decanted and twice filtered through cotton. Diethylether was added dropwise to the clear, red-orange solution, 2.2 cm<sup>3</sup> for

cloudiness, 5.0 cm<sup>3</sup> in total. The reaction mixture was chilled in ice (30 min) and a pale yellow microcrystalline product filtered off and washed twice with diethylether. This product (110 mg, 60% yield) was recrystallized from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1.5 cm<sup>3</sup>) and diethylether (3.0 cm<sup>3</sup>). Yield: 75 mg (40%), m.p. 263–270°C (dec). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>63</sub>H<sub>87</sub>ClCoN<sub>9</sub>O<sub>4</sub>P<sub>2</sub> (%): C, 63.55; H, 7.36; N, 10.59. Found: C, 63.66; H, 7.37; N, 10.57. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $\nu$ (N  $\equiv$  C) ~2090m, 2045vs (br) (Nujol); ~2090m(sh), 2055vs (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); ~2080m (br,sh), 2052vs (CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>). Molar conductivity:  $\Lambda_{\rm M}$  (ohm<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>mol<sup>-1</sup>) 120 (CH<sub>3</sub>CN), 125 (acetone), 71 (CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>), 62 (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>).

# 2.3. $[Co{OP(C_6H_4NMe_2-p)_3}_4](ClO_4)_2$ (4)

Complex 2 (200 mg, 0.155 mmol) was dissolved in acetone (9.5 cm<sup>3</sup>), filtered through cotton, and irradiated at 365 nm for 70 h at room temperature. During this exposure in a quartz tube, the opaque vellow-brown solution gradually assumed a less intense. greenish-grey colour. After irradiation, the sample was filtered through cotton, and diethylether was slowly added. After addition of 3.0 cm<sup>3</sup> of diethylether, the sample was again filtered, leaving a clear, blue-green solution. Another 7.0 cm<sup>3</sup> of diethylether was added, and the reaction mixture chilled in ice (1 h). A dark blue, microcystalline solid was filtered from a pale yellow filtrate and washed twice with diethylether. Crude product was recrystallized from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1.0 cm<sup>3</sup>) and diethylether  $(1.5 \,\mathrm{cm}^3)$ . Yield: 81 mg (55%), m.p. 258–264°C (dec). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>96</sub>H<sub>120</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>CoN<sub>12</sub>O<sub>12</sub>P<sub>4</sub> · 0.5CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (%): C, 60.00; H, 6.32; N, 8.71. Found: C, 59.86; H, 6.34; N, 8.67. Molar conductivity:  $\Lambda_{M}$  (ohm<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>) 300 (CH<sub>3</sub>CN), 295 (acetone), 180 (CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>), 71 (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>). Electronic spectrum:  $\lambda_{max}$  ( $\varepsilon$ , M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) 638 (440), 608 (385), 587 (360), 562 (280), 553 (265), ~480sh (23), 287 (180,000) nm (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); 637 (381), 608 (337), 587 (315), 562 (247), 552 (232), ~480sh (21), 284 (193,000) nm (CH<sub>3</sub>CN). Magnetic susceptibility:  $\chi_g = 4.79 \pm 0.23 \times 10^{-6}$  (cgs),  $\mu_{eff} = 5.0 \pm 0.1$  BM.

## 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Syntheses

The synthesis of **2** uses basically the same procedure for Co(I) complexes with other triarylphosphines.  $P(C_6H_4NMe_2-p)_3$  is less soluble than the other triarylphosphines, requiring greater volumes of  $CH_2Cl_2$ , and the colour of the reaction mixture is noticeably more intense. In some attempts, a small crop of **3** was also isolated, but **2** is the major, often only, product. Over prolonged reaction times, complex **4** can be detected. The synthesis of **3** is analogous to hydrazine reduction of other five-coordinate Co(II) complexes [10], except the yield is low, especially in recrystallization. Complex **3** is oxidized to Co(II) in CF<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH solution, and has limited stability in CH<sub>3</sub>CN and CH<sub>3</sub>OH.

The synthesis of complex **4** is similar to that for  $[Co(OAsR_3)_4](ClO_4)_2$ ,  $R = C_6H_5$ ,  $C_6H_4Me$ -p [17], and  $[Co(OPPh_3)_4](ClO_4)_2$  [8], by solution decomposition of  $[Co(CNC_6H_{11})_4(AsR_3)_2](ClO_4)_2$  and  $[Co(CNC_6H_{11})_3(PPh_3)_2](ClO_4)_2$ , respectively. These syntheses are described as photochemically-assisted, not photochemical

reactions, since they do take place in the dark, *albeit* more slowly [17]. Complex **4** is best synthesized in acetone, although reaction also takes place in  $CH_2Cl_2$ . [Co( $CNC_6H_{11}$ )\_3(PPh\_3)\_2](ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> readily reacted in  $CH_2Cl_2$  or  $CH_3NO_2$ , but in acetone or  $CH_3CN$  appeared to decompose to octahedral Co(II) species [8]. [Co( $CNC_6H_{11}$ )\_4(AsR\_3)\_2](ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> converted to the arsine oxide complex in  $CH_2Cl_2$ ,  $CH_3NO_2$ ,  $CH_3CN$ , acetone or  $CF_3CH_2OH$  [17]. This synthesis, in which a triaryl-phosphine is oxidized to the phosphine oxide, displacing alkylisocyanide ligands to convert low-spin trigonal bipyramidal Co(II) into a high-spin tetrahedral complex, is quite different to the case of simply reacting Co(II) perchlorate with the triaryl-phosphine oxide [13].

#### 3.2. Characterization of the complexes

The molar conductivities ( $\Lambda_M$ ) for complexes **2**, **3**, and **4** are within, or very close to, the ranges expected for 1:1 and 2:1 electrolytes in CH<sub>3</sub>CN, acetone, CH<sub>3</sub>OH, and CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> [18], except for **4** in acetone, which is above the expected range (160–200 ohm<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>).  $\Lambda_M$  values for the [Co(OAsR<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>]X<sub>2</sub> complexes were also high in acetone [17]. The  $\Lambda_M$  values for **2** especially, and **4**, in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> show ion-pairing, customary for Co(II) complexes [19]. Complexes **3** and **4** were unstable in CH<sub>3</sub>OH. The effective magnetic moment for complex **2** (2.45 BM) is within the range previously observed for five-coordinate alkylisocyanide–triarylphosphine complexes of Co(II) [10] and within the range normally observed for low-spin Co(II) (1.8–2.7 BM) [14]. The moment for **4** (5.0 BM) is higher than the temperature-varied fit to the Curie–Weiss law (4.76 BM,  $\theta = -6$ ) [12], but clearly indicates a three-electron paramagnetic species. Complex **3** is expected to be diamagnetic.

Solution electronic spectra of complex 2 are analogous to spectra of other  $[Co(CNR)_3(PR'_3)_2]X_2$  complexes [10]; a crystal field band around 900 nm and intense charge transfer bands in the UV are observed. For 2 both the CF and most intense CT band are at longer wavelengths. Electronic spectra of 3 are not reported since oxidation to 2 takes place for dilute solution (CH<sub>3</sub>CN, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and CF<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH). Spectra for complex 4 give a multiplet of bands assigned to the  ${}^{4}A_{2} \rightarrow {}^{4}T_{1}(P)$  transitions characteristic of tetrahedral Co(II) [20]. The extremely intense band in the UV is undoubtedly an intraligand transition should be divided by four. There is then good agreement between complex 4 and free OP(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NMe<sub>2</sub>-*p*)<sub>3</sub> ligand spectra for this band: 287 nm ( $\varepsilon$ =45,000) (4) versus 288 (47,000) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 284 (48,000) (4) versus 284 (48,000) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN.

The  $\nu(-N\equiv C)$  IR spectra for complexes 2 and 3 are analogous to those of other alkylisocyanide-triarylphosphine complexes of Co(I) and Co(II) [4-6, 10, 11]. Complex 3 would thus be assigned a distorted trigonal bipyramidal solution structure, while 2 would be expected to be regular trigonal bipyramidal. Comparing the major band for  $[Co(CNCMe_3)_3(PPh_3)_2]ClO_4$ ,  $[Co(CNCMe_3)_3\{P(C_6H_4OMe-p)_3\}_2]ClO_4$  and 3, and for  $[Co(CNCMe_3)_3(PPh_3)_2](ClO_4)_2$ ,  $[Co(CNCMe_3)_3\{P(C_6H_4OMe_p)_3\}_2](ClO_4)_2$ and 2, one notices that  $\nu(-N\equiv C)$  values systematically decrease. Slightly larger  $\Delta \nu$ values are seen for replacing  $P(C_6H_4OMe_p)_3$  with  $P(C_6H_4NMe_2-p)_3$  than for replacing PPh<sub>3</sub> with  $P(C_6H_4OMe-p)_3$  [11]. This is in accord with the NMe<sub>2</sub> group being a stronger electron-donating substituent than OMe. Herein is also the probable explanation increased stability of the Co(II) complex. Through for the the series

 $[Co(CNCMe_3)_3(PR'_3)_2]CIO_4$ ,  $R' = C_6H_4CF_3$ -*p*,  $C_6H_4F$ -*p*,  $C_6H_4Cl$ -*p*,  $C_6H_5$ ,  $C_6H_4Me$ -*p*,  $C_6H_4OMe$ -*p*,  $C_6H_4NMe_2$ -*p*, the triarylphosphine ligand becomes a stronger  $\sigma$ -donator and weaker  $\pi^*$ -acceptor. For  $R' = C_6H_4CF_3$ -*p*,  $C_6H_4F$ -*p*,  $C_6H_4Cl$ -*p* only the Co(I) complex is stable [10]. For  $R' = C_6H_5$ ,  $C_6H_4Me$ -*p*,  $C_6H_4OMe$ -*p* both Co(I) and Co(II) complexes are stable, and for  $R' = C_6H_4NMe_2$ -*p*, the Co(II) complex is the favoured species with Co(I) having limited stability in solution. This is a significant change in reactivity for a seemingly minor change in the triarylphosphine ligand.

#### Acknowledgements

The author wishes to acknowledge the Faculty of Science Research and Publications Committee of the University of Botswana for a grant supporting this research.

### References

- [1] R.B. King, M.S. Saran. Inorg. Chem., 11, 2112 (1972).
- [2] J.W. Dart, M.K. Lloyd, R. Mason, J.A. McCleverty, J. Williams. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1747 (1973).
- [3] E. Bordignon, U. Croatto, U. Mazzi, A.A. Orio. Inorg. Chem., 13, 935 (1974).
- [4] C.A.L. Becker, A. Anisi, G. Myer, J.D. Wright. Inorg. Chim. Acta, 111, 11 (1986).
- [5] C.A.L. Becker, S.A. Al-Qallaf, J.C. Cooper. Inorg. Chim. Acta, 188, 99 (1991).
- [6] C.A.L. Becker, K.R. Barqawi. J. Coord. Chem., 34, 273 (1995).
- [7] C.A.L. Becker. Synth. React. Inorg. Met.-Org. Chem., 22, 99 (1992).
- [8] C.A.L. Becker. Synth. React. Inorg. Met.-Org. Chem., 27, 1527 (1997).
- [9] C.A.L. Becker. Synth. React. Inorg. Met.-Org. Chem., 28, 1145 (1998).
- [10] C.A.L. Becker. J. Coord. Chem., 50, 89 (2000).
- [11] J. Ahmad, F. Bothata-Nareetsile, C.A.L. Becker. Can. J. Chem., 81, 1635 (2003).
- [12] R.H. Holm, F.A. Cotton. J. Chem. Phys., 32, 1168 (1960).
- [13] E. Bannister, F.A. Cotton. J. Chem. Soc., 1878 (1960).
- [14] B.N. Figgis, J. Lewis. In Modern Coordination Chemistry, J. Lewis, R.G. Wilkins (Eds), Chapter 6, Interscience, New York (1960).
- [15] C.A.L. Becker, M.A.S. Biswas. J. Coord. Chem., 29, 277 (1993).
- [16] C.A.L. Becker. J. Coord. Chem., 28, 147 (1993).
- [17] C.A.L. Becker, O. Al-Jowder. Synth. React. Inorg. Met.-Org. Chem., 26, 1719 (1996).
- [18] R.J. Angelici. Synthesis and Technique in Inorganic Chemistry, 2nd Edn, Saunders, Philadelphia (1960), Appendix 1; W.J. Geary, Coord. Chem. Rev., 7, 81 (1971).
- [19] C.A.L. Becker. J. Coord. Chem., 31, 337 (1994).
- [20] A.B.P. Lever. Inorganic Electronic Spectroscopy, Chapter 9, Elsevier, New York (1968), and references therein.